

CASE STUDY: Nucleophilic substitution of the nitro group in aromatic compound in flow conditions

Anzi V.^a; De Angelis S.^a; De Angelis B.^a; Devoti P.^b; Bertolini G.^b; Pinna C.^c; Tamborini L.^c; Pinto A.^c

^a Olon S.p.A. - Via B. Cellini, 20 - 20054 Segrate (MI) - Italy;

^b Olon S.p.A. - Strada Rivoltana, km 6/7 - 20053 Rodano (MI) Italy;

^c Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences (DISFARM), University of Milan, via Mangiagalli 25, 20133 (MI), Italy

ABSTRACT

This project was carried out thanks to a collaboration between academia (University of Milan, DISFARM) and industry (Olon S.p.A.-Segrate). A process was developed to optimize a **nucleophilic substitution of nitro group in aromatic compound with the use of a base (Scheme 1.**)

Different issues regarding the yield and the impurity profile have been found using batch conditions. Different flow procedures have been considered in lab scale (work carried out at the University of Milan); The good conversion results obtained allowed the **scale-up** of the reaction in an **industrial pilot plant**.



BATCH CONDITIONS

The batch procedure involves dropwise addition of **the solution containing the two reagents into a suspension of potassium hydrogencarbonate in DMA preheated to 120 °C.** The temperature of the reaction was set to 120 °C and it is considered finished after about 2h. (Evaluation of normalized area % of starting material with respect to the product).

FLOW CHEMISTRY

- Low yields in batch
- Formation of reaction by-products
- Thermal instability of aromatic compound, product and of DMA in presence of K_2CO_3 .



Scheme 1. Nucleophilic substitution reaction.



PILOT PLANT FLOW CONDITIONS

The final setting of the flow reaction has been reported in the following **Scheme 2.** A solution of nitro compound and imidazole in DMA was prepared in a reactor. This solution was pumped in a **10 L column packed with ground quartz (3-6 mm) and potassium hydrogencarbonate** in

• Dropwise addition process of several hours.



RESULTS & CONCLUSION

• Different experiments were carried out and different residence time were evaluated.

| BATCH | K₂CO₃: ground quartz | Flow rate (mL/min) | Rt (min) | Conversion (%)* |
|-------|----------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|--------------------|
| 01 | 76:24 | 380 | 11 | 95.4 |
| 02 | 76:24 | 210 | 20 | 95.2 |
| 03 | 70:30 | 210 | 20 | 90.5 |
| 04 | 37:63 | 300 | 12 | 91.2 |

***Conversion calculation**: average of the conversion of the fraction collected.

different percentages.

- Jacketed INOX reactor
- Internal volume: 10 L; dead volume 4.2 L
- Tapped density $K_2CO_3 = 1.19$ g/ml, crystal density $K_2CO_3 = 2.43$ g/ml
- Ground quartz: added to avoid pressure increases due to a cake formation.
- Temperature: **120** °C (two temperature probes)
- Flow stream: pre-heated dimethylacetamide.



Scheme 2. Setting of the flow reaction.

- Conversions obtained on a pilot scale correspond to those obtained on a lab-scale → the scalability of reaction was simpler than that carried out in batch.
- Productivity: 1.9 kg of product/h*
- The molar yield in flow is higher than in batch $(1.26 \text{ vs. } 1)^{**}$ and the isolated product is within specification. * data obtained from assay of reaction 02. ** Molar yield of the reactions 01-02 with K_2CO_3 : inert material 76:24

References: Vladislav M Vlasov 2003 Russ. Chem. Rev. 72 681; Buck, Peter Dipl Ing. "Reactions of Aromatic Nitro Compounds with Bases." Angewandte Chemie 8 (1969): 120-131.